

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Warrington
Given Name	Ian
Person ID	1286226
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Warrington
Given Name	Ian
Person ID	1286226
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Warrington
Given Name	Ian
Person ID	1286226
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	It has not been demonstrated that the developers have examined every single other option to building on greenbelt land. The proposed development will ruin forever a wonderful area of outstanding natural beauty which is appreciated by many generations of walkers and sports people. It has unrivalled and un interrupted views of the surrounding Pennine hills to the East and North which will be destroyed by the large scale development. To build 450 houses on that sight will be an vastly overcrowded scene. Not consistent with NPPF chapter 13 and PFE objectives 7 and 8.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>There is no satisfactory solution to the vast increase in traffic that will take place if this site is developed. It is being proposed that "High End" houses are to be built, there are no "High End" jobs in Rochdale, Heywood or Bury, so it will necessitate the workers having to travel to Manchester which will further increase pollution and air quality. Therefore it fails to comply with PfE Objective 7 and NPPF chapters 2 and 9.</p> <p>Access to medical services is extremely difficult and on some occasions impossible, the development will only make the situation worse.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I wish for JPA 19 Bamford/Norden be removed from PfE
Family Name	Warrington
Given Name	Ian
Person ID	1286226
Title	JPA 21: Crimble Mill
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The brownfield portion of the development site i.e. Crimble Mill is not going to be re-developed due to there being no provision in the plan for suitable vehicle access across the river (only footbridge shown on proposal). Any access from the B6222 would be prohibitively expensive and would require using greenbelt land. Therefore one of the reasons given for developing the greenfield site on the opposite bank of the river Roach, i.e. to raise funds to re develop Crimble Mill is invalid and borderline legally compliant.</p> <p>The greenfield development does not have public transport links and therefore most movements will be by car which the existing roads will not cope with and will increase CO2 and consequently reduce the air quality. This does not comply with PfE objective 7 and NPPF Chapters 2 and 9.</p> <p>The river floods quite frequently and Crimble Mill and anything built in the lower part of the fields will be flooded which is not in accordance with NPPF Chapter 14 and PfE objective 2.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you	To remove JPA21 Crimble Mill and associated greenfield development from the PfE.

consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.